UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/673,734         | 09/29/2003                       | Patrick M. Lavelle   | 8002A-27 CON        | 1933             |
|                    | 7590 07/24/200<br>SSOCIATES, LLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 130 WOODBU         | RY ROAD                          | RYAN, PATRICK A      |                     |                  |
| WOODBURY, NY 11797 |                                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                    |                                  |                      | 2427                |                  |
|                    |                                  |                      |                     |                  |
|                    |                                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                    |                                  |                      | 07/24/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   |  |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/673,734      | LAVELLE ET AL. |  |
|                 |                |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |  |

|   | PATRICK A. RYAN  | 2427   |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add   | ress                                      |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI  | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>   | the same day as filing a Notice of a<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance       | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, v<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; o | which places the r (3) a Request          |  |  |  |
| periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u>   | of the final rejection   |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The period for reply expires <u>s</u> months from the mailing date of the linar rejection.</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)   | tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office                    | ate extension fee<br>be action; or (2) as |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  | Page 2 (b 07 OFD 44 07 mg at bar   | Clark Make Caracack  | C (l l - t C                              |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w<br/>AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the   |   |  |  |  |
| 3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l   | out prior to the date of filing a brief.   | will not be entered be   | ecause                                    |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo  | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |  |   |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  | •  | ducing or simplifying t  | he issues for                             |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  | -  | ected claims.  |   |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  |  | mpliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324).                                |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  | :  |  |   |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | owable if submitted in a separate, t   | timely filed amendme   | nt canceling the                          |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>   |  | l be entered and an e  | xplanation of                             |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-38 and 40-59</u> .   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and   |  |  |   |  |  |  |
| was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing  | a Notice of Anneal, but prior to the   | date of filing a brief v   | will not be                               |  |  |  |
| entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail   | s to provide a                            |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach  | ed.                                       |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan  | ce because:                               |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |  |   |  |  |  |
| /Scott Beliveau/  | /P. A. R./   |  |   |  |  |  |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427  | Examiner, Art Unit 2427  |  |   |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claim language "an infrared transmitter transmitting the selected audio output on left and right frequencies, the left and right frequencies being different from each other and ranging from approximately 2.3 MHz to approximately 3.0 MHz" will require further search and consideration upon a formal response to the outstanding Office action mailed April 14, 2009.

/PAR/